

**MINUTES AND DETERMINATION OF THE
LIVERPOOL LOCAL PLANNING PANEL MEETING**

28th of November 2022

Held online via
MS Teams

Panel:

Michael Mantei (Chair)

David Ryan

Marjorie Ferguson

Daryl Hawker

There were no conflicts of interest declared by any panel members in relation to any items on the agenda.

LIVERPOOL CITY COUNCIL

LIVERPOOL LOCAL PLANNING PANEL MINUTES AND DETERMINATION PAGE 8

28th November 2022

LOCATION:	22 Box Road, Casula (Mimosa Park)
OWNER:	Liverpool City Council
APPLICANT:	Liverpool City Council
AUTHOR:	Brianna Van Zyl

ISSUES RELATED TO THE APPLICATION

The panel has reviewed the Council officers' planning proposal documents. Council's strategic planners addressed the panel.

The panel notes the special procedure required by section 32 of the *Local Government Act 1993* for the reclassification of community land dedicated under section 94 of the EP&A Act. If the land the subject of this planning proposal was dedicated under section 94 of the EP&A Act, the requirements of section 32 of the LG Act 1993 are threshold requirements to the reclassification of the land. Accordingly, Council officers should be satisfied before proceeding to request a gateway determination that evidence exists to demonstrate that the land is unsuitable for the purpose for which it was dedicated.

The panel notes that the planning proposal will be publicly notified if a gateway determination is issued giving adjoining owners the opportunity to comment on the proposal. Council ought to give careful consideration to any public submissions on the proposal.

The panel considers based on the Council officers report and subject to consideration of the matters identified above, that the planning proposal has sufficient strategic and site specific merit to progress to the next stage of the planning proposal process.

VOTING NUMBERS:

4 – 0

ADVICE OF PANEL:

The panel considers that the planning proposal has sufficient strategic and site specific merit to progress to the next stage of the planning proposal process, subject to Council officers being satisfied that evidence exists to demonstrate that the land is unsuitable for the purpose for which it was dedicated as required by section 32 of the LG Act 1993.